

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/078,402 02/21/2002		Masato Nakade	TAN-296	5644
75	590 09/10/2			
Leonard W. Sherman Sherman & Shalloway 413 N. Washington Street			EXAMINER	
			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/078,402					
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Blessing M. Fubara	1615				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	and the second of the second o	0000					
<u> </u>	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 J</u>						
, <del></del>		s action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition o							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Clair	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority and or 00 0.0.0. 3 110(a	)-(a) or (i).				
<i>'</i> — —	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/078,402

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges receipt of preliminary amendment A filed 02/21/02, request for refund filed 05/24/02, request for extension of time and election filed 06/23/03.

## Election Requirement

Examiner thanks the applicants for electing titanium metal oxide and respectfully notes that the requirement was an election requirement and not a restriction. However, the election requirement is withdrawn. Claims 1-11 and 14-17 are examined.

# Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A (1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the examiner on form PTO-892 has cited the references, they have not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims1-11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for dimethylpolysiloxane, does not reasonably provide enablement for all organopolysiloxanes. The specification does not enable any person skilled in

Application/Control Number: 10/078,402

Art Unit: 1615

the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The following Wands factors are considered:

## 1. The quantity of experimentation necessary

Applicants enabled dimethylpolysiloxane and not all polysiloxanes. Since the specification teaches dimethylpolysiloxane and failed to teach other polysiloxanes, the amount or quantity of experimentation would be unreasonable and of undue burden to one of ordinary skill or one of skill in the art to through experimenting the invention with all the known and yet to be discovered polysiloxanes.

## 2. The amount of direction or guidance presented

Applicants presented guidance to metal oxide-dimethylpolysiloxane, guidance is not provided for other organopolysioxanes.

# 3. The predictability or unpredictability

Exemplification was given only for dimethylpolysiloxane and it will require a great deal of experimentation to determine which of the other organopolysiloxanes would work in the invention.

# 4. The breadth of the claims

The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the disclosure because the specification does not provide enablement for all organopolysiloxanes. The person of ordinary skill would be required to perform undue experimentation to determine all the organopolysiloxanes that may work in the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/078,402

Art Unit: 1615

Applicants may overcome this rejection by reciting dimethylpolysiloxane, which is enabled for in the specification.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bara et al. (US 6,013,247) discloses skin protecting composition that comprises silica or alumina treated nanopigments of titanium oxides dispersed in cross-linked polyorganopolysiloxane or polyorganosiloxane (column 2, line 40 to column 3 line 65, column 4, lines 3-24, column 6, lines 6-56 and claims 1-3). There does not appear to be a covalent bond formation between the metal oxide and the silicone of the siloxane.

4. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara Affibra Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 1600